

Ray Burger,  
 Director of Planning,  
 Town of Dryden

Mr. Burger,

Please find a response clarifying the status of the deliverables requested by T.G.Miller P.C. (via letter dated May 25, 2017 addressed to Supervisor Leifer) for each site.

**2150 Dryden Road**

Short Description of Project Issue	Response from SUN8
1. NYSOPRHP response	No further information required.
2. Response from USACE and NYSDEC.  Access to the SE portion of the site	Provided from NYSDEC – issue closed USACE review ongoing; – letter forthcoming  Existing farm road cross the stream through an existing culvert. This access path is sufficient for our construction and permanent access. This path has been identified in the revised site plans.
3. Response from USFWS on the Northern Long Eared Bat	No further information required.
4. Habitat Assessment	No further information required.
5. Revise site plans to incorporate alternations discussed.	Revised site plans incorporating changes discussed have been provided.
6. Updates to the FEAF to be consistent with the other submitted material	Revised Long-form EAF, consistent with the site plans and environmental reports has been provided.
7. Revised SWPPP incorporating changes discussed.	A new SWPPP has been prepared to incorporate the changes discussed.

**Ellis Tract**

Short Description of Project Issue	Response from SUN8
1. NYSOPRHP response	Provided. SHPO accepts that proposed activity and does not consider the project to be adversely impactful to any of the archeological/cultural resources.
2. Response from USACE and NYSDEC	Provided from NYSDEC – issue closed USACE review ongoing; – letter forthcoming
3. Response from USFWS on the Northern Long Eared Bat	No further information required.
4. Habitat Assessment	Tetrattech has provided revised versions of the EAR with new areas. They have clarified that their reviews

	were performed over the entire general project area and not specifically the project's fenced foot print. The revised layouts therefore do not require further surveys.
5. Noteworthy view and Glare analysis	<p>Line of sight profiles for 3 views were created and were shared with Tompkins County planning for preliminary feedback. Those profiles have been included in the visual impact statement.</p> <p>Glare analysis was performed using the Solar Glare Hazard Analysis Tool (SGHAT, provided by SANDIA Labs) for both the approach path (2-mile length, 3-degree standard glide path) and the observation control tower. Neither showed glare impact. Results of the glare study are included in the visual impact statement. Since the project is not on airport property, or within OFZ or RPZ, project is not obligated to seek a NOI from FAA – per the FAA's federal interim guidelines used to assess ocular impact from solar arrays. The project meets or exceeds these guidelines in any case.</p>
6. Revise site plans to incorporate alternations discussed.	Revised site plans incorporating changes discussed have been provided.
7. Updates to the FEAF to be consistent with the other submitted material	Revised Long-form EAF, consistent with the site plans and environmental reports has been provided.
8. Revised SWPPP incorporating changes discussed.	A new SWPPP has been prepared to incorporate the changes discussed.

We will continue to provide responses to any questions that T.G.Miller may have. Please do not hesitate to reach out to me with any questions.

Sincerely,



Bharath Srinivasan

SUN8 PDC LLC