

TOWN OF DRYDEN
TOWN BOARD MEETING
March 19, 2015

Present: Supervisor Mary Ann Sumner, CI Gregory Sloan, CI Joseph Solomon, CI Jason Leifer, CI Linda Lavine

Elected Officials: Bambi L. Avery, Town Clerk

Other Town Staff: Mahlon Perkins, Town Attorney
Dave Sprout, Code Enforcement Officer

Supv Sumner opened the meeting at 7:00 p.m. and board members and guests participated in the pledge of allegiance.

The Foundation of Light is not prepared to proceed with its special use permit application and was not present.

CITIZENS PRIVILEGE

Tim & Heather Gowe addressed the board regarding a manure pit that is to be installed near their home and close to a DEC wetland. T Gowe said after hearing about it they made some phone calls and did some investigating. From talking with DEC and Tompkins County Soil and Water it seems there is no full scale map of this project. There is no site plan and there doesn't seem to be any oversight. There is no map for depth of water, of the sewer pipe, and no easements for affected property owners. There is no stormwater review and they believe there needs to be one in this matter. There are currently no applications or permits, to their knowledge, submitted for the line that will run through the wetlands and the sewer storage tank to be placed across from their home on Dutcher Road. The only publicly announced permit is for the risers that will come through on either side of North Road. They are concerned that there are no studies of the environmental impact to the DEC protected wetland, or the watershed table or the Cornell-owned bog just south of where the project will take place. He doesn't believe there is an emergency spill response plan by CAFO or Beck Farms. As noted in the 2008 report by Scott Cook from DEC Division of Water, the farm was cited for dispensing too much manure due to an equipment failure.

There is an issue of the impact of truck traffic and noise from the exhaust valves if there is an undisclosed roadway that will run from the sewer storage tank to Dutcher Road. If Beck Farms fields need to be serviced on the North Road side, the truck would have to come down Dutcher Road and make an over 90 degree turn on to North Road.

T Gowe would like the Town Board to look into this further and perhaps hold a public hearing on the project. Perhaps there is an alternate site. He believes this all stems from closure of the Red Mill Road bridge. Apparently the line has already been bored under Red Mill Road, so perhaps a transfer station could be put in a site other than in or near a wetland. He would like the board to submit a written comment objecting to the cursory scope of the review and SEQR Type II classification by NYS DEC. All comments need to be submitted to Theresa Phelps at the DEC Cortland Office no later than April 2 2015. There was a public notice in the paper on Monday. Goves would like the board to request copies of all documents depicting the overall project scope and grant funding materials, including maps and engineering showing locations of the pit, the transfer line, the actual T brakes that will be used in the soft lines, and a proposed emergency spill response plan. He asked the board to require a full SWPP under the town's local stormwater law including post-construction permit and stormwater controls.

He would also like the board to enact as soon as possible an amendment to the town zoning law similar to the legislation currently being considered in the Town of Homer. They have provided an explanation in a letter from them delivered to the Supervisor yesterday.

They would like to see a full review of the project by NYS DEC Division of Soil Water, which is the appointed agency charged with reviewing the CAFO permit, annual updates to the CAFOs, CNNPs, inspections, and overall CAFO practices and compliance with a CAFO SPEDES permit.

Documents regarding the incident in Homer with a manure pit were submitted in a notebook given to the Supervisor. The town didn't know about the project until after the permit was issued and have now proposed a new set of rules. It is currently being reviewed by Cortland County Planning and Ag & Markets. Supv Sumner said she would keep in touch with them about it. She said the town does not have a permitting role but can be a fact finder. There is no review process for the town.

D Sprout, Code Enforcement Officer, has talked with Beck's engineer. The line will go from their barn to a field on Fall Creek Road; from there will be overland lines to North Road. It will then go under a culvert on North Road and then an overland line from the riser by the barn up to the proposed storage facility.

D Sprout said they are exempt from the town's stormwater regulations. It is an accepted agricultural practice. Because the pipeline is so far from North Road (about 1500 feet) it doesn't require a full SWPP. The storage facility doesn't require post construction inspection, only an erosion and sediment control plan during construction. He doesn't see how the town's stormwater regulations apply. Supv Sumner will put the information the town has with information the Gowes have gathered. He tried to get someone from Beck Farms, their engineer or Soil & Water to come tonight, but they did not.

There was a discussion about where there were options or alternative locations that would impact the Gowes and the environment less.

D Sprout said the reason for doing this is to reduce truck traffic. They will pump manure to the storage facility so they don't have to spread it as often as they might otherwise. They will hook a pipe up to the risers and spread from risers to the fields.

Craig Schutt, Conservation Board member, said at the time this grant was acquired by Beck Farms, he was the district manager for Tompkins County Soil & Water Conservation District. He wrote the grant and got the project funded. He and the Becks worked with a very good engineer. The engineering firm is highly thought of for this kind of project. He never had any question about this project being well done. A project like this, under DEC and Ag & Markets, has to have a stormwater plan within the project plan. It wouldn't go through without a thorough stormwater plan. It has to be there and has to be followed. Becks are one of the highest regulated businesses in the town. DEC and other regulatory authorities are on them all the time because of their size. The CAFO program is very strong and very strict. He said he doesn't think anyone does it better than Becks. He felt very comfortable securing funding for them to do this project.

Cl Lavine asked whether he thought there were options that made it better and would still allow the project to move forward. C Schutt responded that CAFO won't allow them to spread manure year round, so they have to have storage facilities large enough to hold the manure. This way they can spread it at planting and get the full benefit of the fertilizer so they don't have to use as much chemical fertilizer. They looked at several options for location, but they had to have another storage facility to be in compliance with their CAFO permit. There

will be a lot less truck traffic. There will be less carbon emissions and there will be less damage to roads. They did look at alternatives, and it isn't likely the plan will change.

David Bradley, South Street, said he moved to Dryden about 8 months ago. He is surprised with the incredible road noise given incredible truck traffic in the village. The village doesn't ticket them. He kept inquiring why the police don't issue more tickets and was told the Village doesn't make money from the tickets. The Village Board has now decided to cut the police budget by 25% (\$150,000). He understands that 20% of their time is spent in the surrounding area and he would like the Town Board to tell the Village that it doesn't need any police services and please don't send Village police there because they will not be compensated. Then he might be able to get the truck traffic in front of his house under control.

Supv Sumner understands his point and said it is true that 20% of the village police calls are responses to mutual aid agreements with other law enforcements outside the village. The town doesn't request this, and even if we asked them not to, they would continue to respond to other law enforcement agencies.

Deborah Cipolla Dennis said she is grateful that the town is now plowing Sapsucker Woods Road. This is first year that the road has been plowed in the ten years she has worked at the Lab of Ornithology and she appreciates that. She was at a previous board meeting when a gentleman complained about high cost of taxes and budget mismanagement. She took a close look at her property tax bill this year and commended the town for its fiscal management. Only a tiny bit of that bill actually goes to the town.

Joanne Cipolla Dennis thanked Gowes for coming and watching out for Dryden's waters. She supports small independent farms, but not CAFOs for these reasons. She wonders what the impact on their life and the value of their property is going to be. The DEC should have a hearing because these people are entitled to protections if they are available.

She said she has been talking to people in Tompkins County about the state of our police and how they treat citizens. She has been to meetings at the county and is quite appalled at the destruction done to a family in Danby recently. The Danby Town Supervisor spoke about how it went down in his town, without notification to town. She met the widow in this incident who is now homeless and has no income. Because some cop could have gotten hurt, they destroyed the home with equipment given to them by homeland security. They say they didn't hear the shot when he killed himself, yet they were able to hear his cough. They admitted that they weren't listening the entire time, and that's why they didn't hear him commit suicide. She asked the board to please write letter of support for a full independent investigation of this incident by Gov Cuomo.

TOWN CLERK

RESOLUTION #53 (2015) – APPROVE MINUTES

Supv Sumner offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby approves the meeting minutes of February 12 and February 19, 2015.
2nd Cl Leifer

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Jennifer Jones provided board members with their new spring booklet. The booklet has changed. She is using a new printing company to create a more colorful booklet at about a quarter of the price. There are new programs. We are now a Red Cross certified training location and they will be holding CPR certification classes this spring. Pickleball, started last year, is running great with about 20 people participating each Sunday. It is an adult program, but they hoping younger folks join.

Her goal for the program booklet is for it to be a community guide. She has included Kiwanis, the library and the Intergenerational Band and Chorus and would like it show everything that is available, not just what is offered by her department. She is currently planning summer and fall programs. There will be new summer camps, morning or afternoon, for archery, basketball, tennis and hopefully drama. She is hoping to engage more students over the summer and increase revenue. The summer concert series will continue as well as many of the fall sports.

J Jones asked the board to approve the community grant guidelines developed by the Recreation and Youth Commission. Once approved she will put out the notifications. There are limited funds for programming and events. They hope to maximize community involvement and impact in the community. They are trying to do as much as we can with the limited funds.

She expects the summer camps to be self supporting and possibly bring in some revenue. The Groton programs she was previously involved with pay for themselves and generate revenue.

The last two pages of the program guide include trail information and information for the community centers. She would like to grow the trail guide.

The board reviewed the grant guidelines developed by the Recreation & Youth Commission.

RESOLUTION #54 (2015) – ADOPT GRANT GUIDELINES

Supv Sumner offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby adopts the community grant program guidelines outlined in its memo dated February 13, 2015.

2nd Cl Solomon

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

284 Agreement – Supv Sumner said past agreements had separate amounts for maintenance and repairs and this agreement lumps it together. Rick Case said it is grouped together because it is CHIPS moneys that are meant for capital improvements for the town’s highway system. There was a discussion about what is maintenance and what are repairs or improvements. CHIPS money is only for capital improvements – paving and chip sealing/surface treatment are improvements or can be considered improvements. R Case said

account DB5112 is the account in the budget for NYS CHIPS monies. Until the state budget is passed they are unsure of the actual amount that will be received. Last year the amount was just shy of \$340,000. It started at \$293,000 and the state added extra because of the severe winter. They are not expected to do that this year.

Supv Sumner noted that the Comptrollers Office said we weren't planning enough for maintenance, and she's trying to determine what maintenance is. R Case said it's everything we do to keep the roads from falling apart including ditches, drainage, and shoulders. New York State lists criteria on how the town can spend CHIPS moneys and what they consider capital improvements, which includes buying equipment. Supv Sumner will make an appointment to discuss this further with him.

The board reviewed the 284 agreement and Supv Sumner said she appreciated them adding the start and stop points. In reviewing the other report submitted by the Highway Superintendent, Supv Sumner noted that they have spent a good portion of their winter budget already and might want to be thoughtful about the remaining budget for November and December. The department is willing to repair/replace mailboxes damaged by the snow plow provided they were installed correctly originally and the driver acknowledges hitting it.

Supv Sumner would like a summary of what was completed of the items listed on the 284 last year. R Young said they were having trouble retrieving information from the Ihelper program, but expect to have it next week. Supv Sumner said that has been posted on the website in the past and she would like to put the 284 Agreement on when it is signed.

Supv Sumner noted the Yellow Barn Water Company meeting is next Thursday.

NEW BUSINESS

Freeville Shopper special use permit- There was nothing to add by applicant and no questions from the board. The public hearing was scheduled for 7:15 p.m. on April 16, 2015.

Public Interest Order - Supv Sumner explained this is for improvements to the influent building that they've been researching for over a year. The engineering estimate is 6.3 million dollars and a contingency added bringing it to 6.9 million dollars. The town's share will be \$131,274.00.

RESOLUTION #55 (2015) - ADOPT PUBLIC INTEREST ORDER - INFLUENT BUILDING & DEWATERING PROJECT

Supv Sumner offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby adopts the following public interest order:

In the Matter
of
the Proposed Improvement Project Pursuant to
Town Law §202-b for the Wastewater Treatment
Plant in the City of Ithaca serving the Town of
Dryden known as the Influent Building and
Dewatering Project

ORDER CALLING
PUBLIC HEARING

WHEREAS, a plan, report and map have been duly prepared in such manner and in such detail as heretofore has been determined by the Town Board of the Town of Dryden, Tompkins County, New York, relating to the construction, pursuant to Town Law § 202-b of improvements to be known and identified as the Influent Building and Dewatering Project, and hereinafter also referred to as “the Improvement Project,” to provide improvements to the present wastewater treatment plant in the City of Ithaca managed jointly by the City and Town of Ithaca and Town of Dryden which wastewater treatment plant provides wastewater treatment services for the Town sewer districts served by such wastewater treatment plant, such improvements to be constructed and owned by the City and Town of Ithaca and Town of Dryden, and

WHEREAS, said plan, report and map have been prepared by GHD Consulting Services, Inc., Professional Engineers, duly licensed by the State of New York and have been filed in the office of the Town Clerk where they are available for public inspection, and

WHEREAS, the area of said Town determined to be benefited by said Improvement Project consists of the entire area of said Town sewer districts served by the wastewater treatment plant in the City of Ithaca, and

WHEREAS, the proposed Improvement Project consists of the improvements set forth below, as more particularly shown and described in said plan, report and map presently on file in the Office of the Town Clerk:

Replacement of influent screens, installation of an odor control system, procurement and installation of dewatering equipment and replacement of lighting and painting of the interior walls of the influent building, and

WHEREAS, the maximum proposed to be expended for the aforesaid improvements is \$6,930,000.00 of which the Town of Dryden’s share is not to exceed \$131,274.00, and the proposed method of financing to be employed by said Town of Dryden is as follows: Ithaca Area Wastewater Treatment Facility co-owner City of Ithaca will issue bonds to pay for the City of Ithaca’s share of the improvements at the Facility as well as for the Town of Dryden’s share of the project cost. The Town of

Dryden will not co-issue or be liable on the bonds. The Town of Dryden will reimburse the City of Ithaca for the said Town's share of such project cost. The Town of Dryden's contractual payments to the City of Ithaca will be paid by expenditure of current revenues and surplus funds from sewer rents and charges from the Town of Dryden sewer districts served by the Ithaca Area Wastewater Treatment Facility, and

WHEREAS, the implementation of this project will be through a joint agreement with the City and Town of Ithaca and Town of Dryden,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, by the Town Board of the Town of Dryden, Tompkins County, New York, as follows:

Section 1. A public hearing will be held at the town hall, 93 East Main Street, Dryden, in said Town, on the 16th day of April, 2015 at 7:00 o'clock p.m. to consider the aforesaid plan, report and map and the questions of the providing of said Improvement Project, and the question of any related agreement, and to hear all persons interested in the subject thereof, all in accordance with the provisions of Town Law §202-b and applicable provisions of the General Municipal Law and Local Finance Law.

Section 2. The Town Clerk of the Town of Dryden, Tompkins, County, New York, is hereby authorized and directed to cause a copy of this order to be published once in the official newspaper of the Town, and also to post a copy thereof on the Town signboard maintained by the Clerk, not less than ten (10) nor more than 20 days before the day designated for the hearing as aforesaid.

Section 3. This order shall take effect immediately.

2nd Cl Leifer

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

There is a request from Verizon for an amendment of the special use permit for their NYSEG tower. They would like to change the antennas on the tower. County review has not been received, so the board will not act on that tonight.

Proposed amendment to Local Law #1 of 2015 – Zoning Law - This amendment will delete the definition of public utilities and add definitions of public utility delivery facilities and public utility structures. The use chart will be modified in the same way. Subsection E of

Section 900 clarifies that this law does not apply to repair or replacement in kind or projects regulated by the PSC or FERC or for extension distances of less than 1,000 feet. It also does not apply to individual service connection to a house. There are also a few administrative changes not connected to the public utilities issues. Distribution lines would be subject to a special use permit.

RESOLUTION #56 (2015) – INTRODUCE AMENDMENT TO LOCAL LAW #1 OF 2015

Cl Leifer offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby introduces the following amendment to Local Law #1 of 2015, and sets a public hearing to consider adoption of the same for April 16, 2015, at 7:30 p.m.

Local law No. 1 of the year 2015 is hereby amended as follows:

1. The definition of “Public Utility” in Article III is hereby repealed.
2. Article III is hereby amended to add the following new definitions:

Public Utility Delivery Facilities – Local infrastructure that delivers an everyday necessity to the public at large, such as sewer laterals, water services, electric services, natural gas services and telecommunication services. Public Utility Delivery Facilities are typically owned by the property owner served by said delivery facility but may be owned by a municipality, public utility corporation or other private entity.

Public Utility Structures – Larger utility infrastructure including water or sewer mains, electric poles and lines, natural gas distribution lines, telecommunication towers, structures and other facilities owned by a public utility corporation which do not fall within the definition of Public Utility Delivery Facilities. Examples include tanks, pump stations, electric transmission or distribution lines and natural gas transmission and distribution lines and their related appurtenances including electric substations and point of delivery structures. [See however, Section 900 (E)(2)]

3. The chart in Section 501 is hereby amended by deleting the reference to “Public Utility” and by adding the categories of “Public Utility Delivery Facilities” and “Public Utility Structures” so that the chart reads as follows:

USES	NR Neighborhood Residential	RR Rural Residential	RA Rural Agricultural	CV Conservation	MC Mixed Use Commercial	LIO, LIO-A Light Industrial/ Office
Agricultural Use	P	P	P	P	P	P
Farmstand	P	P	P	P	P	P
Adult Use (see §1302)	X	X	X	X	X	SUP Only permitted in LIO-A
Agriculture-Related Enterprise	X	SUP	P	P	P	SUP
Artist Studio/ Craft Workshop	X	P	P	P	P	P

USES	NR Neighborhood Residential	RR Rural Residential	RA Rural Agricultural	CV Conservation	MC Mixed Use Commercial	LIO, LIO-A Light Industrial/ Office
Automotive Repair Garage (see §1310)	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Automotive Sales	X	X	X	X	SUP	SUP
Automotive Salvage and Junk Yards	X	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Automotive Towing Service (see §1306)	X	X	SUP	X	SUP	SUP
Bed-and Breakfast establishment	X	P	P	P	P	SUP
Boarding House	X	SUP	SUP	X	X	X
Campground	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	X	X
Car Wash	X	P	P	P	SUP	P
Contractor's yard	X	SUP	SUP	P	P	P
Day care center, child	X	SUP	SUP	X	P	P
Drive-through facility (see §1309)	X	X	X	X	SUP	SUP
Gasoline station	X	X	X	X	SUP	SUP
General Office Building	X	X	X	X	P	P
Hotel / Motel	X	X	X	X	P	SUP
Industry, Light	X	X	X	X	P	P
Industry/ Manufacturing (see §1303)	X	X	X	X	SUP	SUP
Inn	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	P	X
Kennel (see §1308)	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	X
Large Scale Retail Development	X	SUP	X	X	SUP	SUP
Mining (see §1304)	X	X	SUP See Section 1304 for restrictions	SUP See Section 1304 for restrictions	X	SUP See Section 1304 for restrictions
Nursery/Greenhouse, Retail	X	SUP	P	SUP	P	X
Professional office	X	SUP	P	SUP	P	P
Restaurant	X	SUP	SUP	X	P	SUP
Retail business	X	X	SUP	X	P	P
Retail shopping centers / plazas	X	X	X	X	SUP	X
Retreat or Conference Center	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	X
Self-storage	X	X	X	X	SUP	SUP

USES	NR Neighborhood Residential	RR Rural Residential	RA Rural Agricultural	CV Conservation	MC Mixed Use Commercial	LIO, LIO-A Light Industrial/ Office
Service business	X	SUP	SUP	X	P	P
Theater	X	X	X	X	P	P
Warehouse	X	X	X	X	SUP	P
Bed-and-Breakfast home	P	P	P	SUP	P	X
Congregate Care Facility	X	P	P	P	P	X
Day care home, Family	P	P	P	P	P	X
Day care home, Family Group	P	P	P	P	P	X
Dwelling, accessory unit (see §1311)	P as per §1311	P as per §1311	P as per §1311	P as per §1311	P as per §1311	X
Dwelling, multi-family	X	SUP	SUP	X	SUP	X
Dwelling, single-family	P	P	P	P	P	X
Dwelling, two-family	X	P	P	P	P	X
Dwelling, upper-floor apartment(s)	X	X	X	X	SUP	X
Elder Cottage see §1305	See §1305	See §1305	See §1305	See §1305	See §1305	X
Home Occupation: Level 1	P	P	P	P	P	X
Home Occupation: Level 2	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	X
Manufactured Home	X	P	P	P	SUP	X
Manufactured Home Park	X	SUP only with Municipal Water and Sewer	SUP only with Municipal Water and Sewer	X	SUP only with Municipal Water and Sewer	X
Mobile Home	X	X	X	X	X	X
Senior Housing, Family	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	X
Senior Care Facility	X	SUP	SUP	X	SUP	X
Workshop/Garage Non-Commercial	P	P	P	P	P	P
Cemetery	X	P	P	P	P	P
Educational use	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	X
Library	X	SUP	X	X	SUP	X
Lodge or club	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	P	X
Municipal use	P	P	P	P	P	P

USES	NR Neighborhood Residential	RR Rural Residential	RA Rural Agricultural	CV Conservation	MC Mixed Use Commercial	LIO, LIO-A Light Industrial/ Office
Public Safety Use	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Public Utility Delivery Facilities See Section 900 (E)(2)	P	P	P	P	P	P
Public Utility Structures See Section 900 (E)(2)	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Religious Institution	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Recreation, Active	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Recreation, Passive	P	P	P	P	P	P
Recreation Facility, Amusement	X	X	SUP	X	SUP	SUP
Recreational Facility, Athletic	X	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP	SUP
Recreational Facility, Motorized	X	X	SUP	SUP	X	X
Any accessory Building or use determined by the Planning Department or Zoning Board of Appeals to be customarily incidental to a permitted use, including detached garages and sheds.	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA
Accessory recreational uses, such as swimming pools and sports courts, provided that they are in compliance with the setback requirements for the principal use.	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA
Off-Street Parking Facilities	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA	PA

4. The chart in Section 702 is hereby amended by deleting the reference to “Public Utility” and by adding the categories of “Public Utility Delivery Facilities” and “Public Utility Structures” so that the chart reads as follows:

Allowed Principal Uses	Varna Hamlet Mixed Use District (VHMUD)	Varna Hamlet Residential District (VHRD)	Varna Hamlet Traditional District (VHTD)	Minimum Lot Size
---------------------------	---	---	---	---------------------

Allowed Principal Uses	Varna Hamlet Mixed Use District (VHMUD)	Varna Hamlet Residential District (VHRD)	Varna Hamlet Traditional District (VHTD)	Minimum Lot Size
Agricultural Use	P	P	P	None
Farmstand	P	P	P	None
Artist Studio/Craft Workshop	SPR	X	SPR	1/8 Acre
Automotive Repair Garage	SPR	X	X	1 Acre
Bed And Breakfast Establishment	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Boarding House	SPR	SPR	SPR	¼ Acre
Day Care Center	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Gasoline Station	SPR	X	X	2 Acres
General Office Building	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Hotel/Motel	SPR	X	X	1 Acre
Industry, Light	SUP/SPR	SUP/SPR	X	2 Acres
Inn	SPR	SPR	SPR	½ Acre
Nursery/ Greenhouse, Retail	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Professional Office	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Restaurant	SPR	X	X	None
Retail Business	SPR	X	X	None
Retail shopping center/plaza	SPR	X	X	2 Acres
Retreat/Conference Center	SPR	SPR	X	2 Acres
Service Business	SPR	X	X	None
Theater	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Bed and Breakfast, Home	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Congregate Care Facility	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Day care home, Family	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Day Care, Family Group	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Dwelling, accessory unit (See § 1311)	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Dwelling, multi-family	SPR	SUP	SUP	1 Acre
Dwelling, single-family	P	P	P	None

Allowed Principal Uses	Varna Hamlet Mixed Use District (VHMUD)	Varna Hamlet Residential District (VHRD)	Varna Hamlet Traditional District (VHTD)	Minimum Lot Size
Dwelling, two-family	SPR	SPR	SPR	10,000 square feet
Dwelling, upper-floor apartments	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Elder Cottages	See Section 1305	See Section 1305	See Section 1305	None
Home Occupation: Level 1	P	P	P	None
Home Occupation: Level 2	P	SPR	SPR	None
Manufactured Home	X	X	X	None
Manufactured Home Park	X	PUD	X	5 Acres
Senior Housing	SPR	SPR	SPR	1 Acre
Senior Care Facility	SPR	SPR	X	2 Acres
Workshop/Garage – Non-Commercial	P	P	P	None
Educational Use	SPR	SPR	X	None
Library	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Lodge or Club	SPR	SPR	SUP	2 Acres
Municipal Use	SPR	SPR	X	None
Public Safety	SPR	SPR	X	½ Acre
Public Utility Delivery Facilities	P	P	P	N/A
Public Utility Structures	SUP	SUP	SUP	½ Acre
Religious Institution	SPR	SPR	SUP	None
Recreation, Active	SPR	SPR	X	1 Acre
Recreation, Passive	SPR	SPR	SPR	None
Recreation Facility, Amusement	SPR	SPR	X	2 Acres
Recreation Facility, Athletic	SPR	SPR	X	2 Acres

5. Subsection E of Section 900 is hereby repealed.

6. A new subsection E of Section 900 is hereby enacted to read as follows:

(1) Nothing contained in this Zoning Law shall be construed to require a Special Use Permit for repairs or replacements in kind of Public Utility Delivery Facilities or for individual lot service connections by a public utility corporation.

(2) Facilities or activities of a public utility corporation regulated by FERC (the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission), the PSC (the New York Public Service Commission), or which involve the extension of a Public Utility Structure for a distance of less than 1,000 feet are not subject to regulation by this Law.

7. Subsection A of Section 1102 is hereby amended to read as follows:

A. All applicants should refer to the Town of Dryden Residential and Commercial Design Guidelines and then meet with the Planning Department prior to requesting a sketch plan conference.

8. The definition of Invasive Species in Article III is hereby amended to read as follows:

Invasive Species – Non-native plant species on the list of Worst Invasive Plants of Tompkins County, New York compiled by F. Robert Wesley, April 2008 which includes the common name, species name and family. This list is now found in Appendix D, and is hereby made a part of this Law by reference to such Appendix.

9. Appendix D is hereby repealed.

10. A new Appendix D is hereby enacted to read as in the attached list of “Worst Invasive Plants of Tompkins County, New York.”

11. This local law shall take effect upon filing in the office of the Secretary of State.

2nd Cl Sloan

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

The board made to changes to the §284 Agreement. The distance in #2 was changed to .8 miles and #8 was changed to .2 miles.

RESOLUTION #57 (2015) – APPROVE §284 AGREEMENT

Supv Sumner offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby approves the §284 Agreement to Spend Highway Funds as presented.

2nd Cl Solomon

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

Board members and the Highway Superintendent signed the agreement.

Cortland Road Sewer District –

Supv Sumner explained that it is necessary to transfer funds for the Cortland Road Sewer District fund for a project completed in 2007.

RESOLUTION #58 (2015) – TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM CORTLAND ROAD SEWER PROJECT CAPITAL PROJECT FUND

Supv Sumner offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

WHEREAS, the Cortland Road Sewer District has a Capital Project Fund (HB) has a balance of \$24,798.65, and

WHEREAS, the Capital Project for Cortland Road Sewer District is complete, and

WHEREAS, The Office of the State Comptroller requires the balance in the Capital Project Fund to be transferred to the operating fund for the Cortland Road Sewer District (SS3) and recommends that the transfer be made effective 12/31/14, be it therefore

RESOLVED, that the Town Board hereby authorizes the Bookkeeper to transfer the balance of \$24,798.65 from the fund balance of the Cortland Road Sewer Capital Project fund (HB) to the fund balance of the Cortland Road Sewer operating fund (SS3) effective 12/31/14 before closing the accounting year.

2nd Cl Sloan

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

Supv Sumner reported that the town has spent \$118,447 researching and organizing the Yellow Barn Water District. The district now has funding, so is now paying the town back. No resolution is needed for this.

Kevin Ezell, Code Enforcement Officer, has brought to the Supervisor’s attention that the State Department, where the building code is administered, has a template for the local law that is necessary for localities to assume responsibility for code enforcement. That law was passed by the Town in 2007 (Local Law #1 of 2007). Department of State has now made localities aware that there is a section cited in that law that is incorrect. An amendment has been prepared that redefines inspector as the Department of State recommends and corrects the previously incorrect section of Executive Law. The “remedies not exclusive” paragraph means that all remedies are available to the town for a violation of any section of the code, including those set forth in Executive Law Section 382.

RESOLUTION #59 (2015) - INTRODUCE LOCAL LAW TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO LOCAL LAW No. 1 of 2007

Supv Sumner offered the following resolution and asked for its adoption:

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby introduces the following local law, providing for technical corrections to Local Law 1 of 2007, and sets a public hearing on adoption of the same for April 16, 2015, at 7:45 p.m.

A local law to provide technical corrections to Local Law No. 1 of the year 2007

Local Law No. 1 of the year 2007, a local law providing for the Administration and Enforcement of New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code and Other Town of Dryden Local Laws and Ordinances, is hereby amended as follows:

1. The definition of “Inspector” in Section 2. Definitions is hereby amended to read:

“Inspector” shall mean an inspector appointed pursuant to subdivision (d) of section 3 of this local law.

2. Subsection (e) of Section 15. Violations is hereby amended to read:

(e) Remedies Not Exclusive. No remedy or penalty specified in this section shall be the exclusive remedy or remedy available to address any violation described in this section, and each remedy or penalty specified in this section shall be in addition to, and not in substitution for or limitation of, the other remedies or penalties specified in this section, in section 6 (Stop Work Orders) of this local law, in any other section of this local law, or in any other applicable law. Any remedy or penalty specified in this section may be pursued at any time, whether prior to, simultaneously with, or after the pursuit of any other remedy or penalty specified in this section, in section 6 (Stop Work Orders) of this local law, in any other section of this local law, or in any other applicable law. In particular, but not by way of limitation, each remedy and penalty specified in this section shall be in addition to, and not in substitution for or limitation of, the penalties specified in subdivision (2) of section 382 of the Executive Law, and any remedy or penalty specified in this section may be pursued at any time, whether prior to, simultaneously with, or after the pursuit of any penalty specified in subdivision (2) of section 382 of the Executive Law.

3. This local law shall take effect upon filing with the Secretary of State.

2nd Cl Leifer

Roll Call Vote	Cl Sloan	Yes
	Cl Solomon	Yes
	Supv Sumner	Yes
	Cl Leifer	Yes
	Cl Lavine	Yes

Supv Sumner previously provided board members with a link to a video tour of the Bolton Point Water Production Plant. She said it is a very impressive video and encouraged them to watch it and perhaps arrange for a tour of the facility.

Supv Sumner recently attended a meeting with Cornell Community Relations and Finance Office on Cornell fiscal transparency. There was a very interesting presentation of Cornell's financial planning. She was struck by how similar their challenges and opportunities are to the Town's. They are now thinking about working on reserve fund policies. It was interesting to understand how they survived the recession and what their plans are for the future. They are waiting for the new president to begin the next five year plan. She will forward information to the board.

Supv Sumner said she is involved in the Shared Services Task Force trying to prepare for writing a report due to the Comptroller's Office by the first of June about how we plan to cut 1% of the levy by intermunicipal shared services. The Association of Towns is holding a webinar on doing just that next week. She will share the link with the board.

The consolidated court idea has been abandoned, but investigating that has led to a task force considering consolidated court for other reasons that include what might be a more equal justice across the county. Cl Leifer said they expect it would provide more even results across the county and they are exploring how that might be done. The study group of attorneys, justices, mayors and supervisors will brainstorm how this may work. He doesn't personally agree that there wouldn't be cost savings. If you look at what the Town pays out and what we take in, we operate at a loss. Village parking tickets and the lack of a way to enforce those is an example. Most of the fines collected by the town go out of the town. Supv Sumner said she is proud that Cl Leifer was specifically recruited for this task force.

Supv Sumner said she attended a nice meeting Saturday with Design Connect and Conservation Board members looking at ideas for the Varna to Freeville segment of the trail. She was astonished at the unanimous support for the trail and hopes that moves it ahead. Cl Sloan said he is looking forward to getting a better handle on what the construction schedules will look like and what the construction budgets will need to look like so they can start to plan on how to implement this in the shortest possible time with the minimum burden on the taxpayer.

Cl Leifer is working on revisions to the town's personnel manual, and will be forwarding those to board members.

There being no further business, on motion made, seconded, and unanimously carried, the meeting was adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Bambi L. Avery
Town Clerk